

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE '	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,537	07/29/2003	Sandeep Bhatia	CA7034222001	9252
23639 7590 01/02/2008 BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP Three Embarcadero Center			EXAMINER	
			CHUNG, PHUNG M	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2117	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ė i		<b>/</b> hiV			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/630,537	BHATIA, SANDEEP			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phung My Chung	2117			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 O	ctober 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) Claim(s) <u>15-18 and 24-46</u> is/are pending in the	application.	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18 and 24-31</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-46</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r clastian requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	. 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. ,	,,,,,			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)	, m	(DTO 440)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<del></del>	nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number:

10/630,537 Art Unit: 2117

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 32-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant admitted prior art (hereafter referred to as the AAPA) in view of Berndlmaier et al (6,455,336).

As per claims 32-34, the AAPA discloses a method comprising:

a plurality of flip-flops are connected into several chains, called scan chains, which are usually accessed through test pins, as shown in Figure 1, paragraph (0004). The AAPA does not disclose logically associating each pin of a first group to each pin of a second group. However, Berndlmaier et al logically divided pins of a chip into segments according to different functions or logical blocks. (See col. 2, lines 49-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the logically divided pins of a chip into segments according to different functions or logical blocks as taught by Berndlmaier et al into the invention of the AAPA in order to logically associating pins of each group through a logical circuit so that the number of input pins is less than the number of scan chains.

As per claims 37-39 and 42-44, these claims are rejected under similar rationale as set forth in claims 32-34.

Application/Control Number:

10/630,537 Art Unit: 2117

As per claims 35-36, 40-41 and 45-46, the AAPA and Berndlmaier et al do not specifically disclose that logically associating comprises: performing an exclusive OR operation. However, it would have been a matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to set the logically associating by performing an exclusive OR operation as desired if needed. This is because Berndlmaier et al already disclose logically divided the pins into different sections or logical blocks.

- 3. Claims 15-18, 24-31 are allowable.
- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims15-18 and 24-46 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung My Chung whose telephone number is 571-272-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on 571-272-6962. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/630,537 Art Unit: 2117

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phung My Chung

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2117